

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,022	10/10/2001	Steven P. Bibeault	17549-105	7517
30623 7	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			SNIDER, THERESA T	
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02111			1744	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •				MK-7
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/974,022	BIBEAULT ET AI	L.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Theresa T. Snider	1744	
Th MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
 If NO period for reply is specified a 	'HIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, no within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time) MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	ctober 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL	2b) This	action is non-final.		
	n is in condition for allowar e with the practice under <i>E</i>		matters, prosecution as to th 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ie merits is
Disposition of Claims		•		•
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are	m(s) <u>21 and 22</u> is/are with allowed. <u>and 23</u> is/are rejected. e objected to.	drawn from considera		
Application Papers			_	
Replacement drawing	on is/are: a) account any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objecte drawing(s) be held in al ion is required if the dra	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
11)☐ The oath or declarati	on is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1				
2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the application from the application from the application from the see the attached detained as specific refere the application of the translation of t	c) None of: es of the priority document es of the priority document certified copies of the prior m the International Bureau tiled Office action for a list hade of a claim for domesti nce was included in the first of the foreign language pro- tiled of a claim for domesti	s have been received in the second in the se	I. I in Application No been received in this National s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision ecification or in an Application	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachment(s)		_		
Notice of References Cited (PT Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Information Disclosure Statement	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:	

Application/Control Number: 09/974,022

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 12-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. It is unclear as to where in the specification is disclosed that the airflow moves along the length of the needle. Page 8, line 18 discloses the airflow moving past the end of the needle, as also illustrated in Figure 6A. Page 9, line 4, discloses the airflow pulling any residual material from the exterior surface of the needle.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12-20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12, line 5, claim 15, line 4, and claim 23, line 8, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'in line'; does this mean along the entire length or in the same direction as the longitudinal axis of the needle?

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/974,022

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Blattner.

Blattner discloses a means for receiving a liquid from a liquid source (fig. 2, #40).

Blattner discloses a means for dispensing a liquid through a needle onto a medium (col. 5, lines 47-50 and 57-61).

Blattner discloses a means for removing residual material from an exterior portion of the needle (col. 6, lines 6-22 and col. 7, lines 10-15).

With respect to claim 15, Blattner discloses a computer control system for operatively positioning the need relative to the at least one orifice (col. 3, lines 51-60 and col. 4, lines 46-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopes in view of Cavallaro.

Lopes discloses a similar system however fails to disclose the nozzle being a needle or pin.

Lopes discloses a means for receiving a liquid from a liquid source (fig. 1, #12A-B).

Art Unit: 1744

Lopes discloses a means for dispensing liquid through a nozzle onto a medium (fig. 1, #14,17). Cavallaro discloses that it is known in the art to use a needle or pin as a nozzle for dispensing a material onto a medium (col. 3, lines 58-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the needle of Cavallaro in Lopes to allow for the dispensing of liquid in a desired pattern.

Lopes discloses a means for removing an amount of residual material from an exterior of the nozzle without contacting the nozzle (col. 7, lines 16-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 8-11 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 1 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 11. Claims 2-7, 13-14 and 16-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The prior art discloses a cleaning system for removing residual material from a needle having a container assembly with at least one orifice, a vacuum source operatively connected to the container assembly and a control system that positions a liquid dispensing needle relative to the Container HOWEVER fails to disclose or fairly suggest the container assembly having a disposable cup OR an iris-type shutter having a variable diameter opening OR the orifice having an adjustable diameter.

Application/Control Number: 09/974,022 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/3/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 12 and 15. Applicant urges Blattner fails to disclose airflow inline with the exterior surface of the needle. This argument is not deemed persuasive because figure 1 shows the vacuum source being connected to #52, which is not contacting the needle #48. Upon application of the vacuum source airflow would be generated along the lower portion/end of the needle, therefore meeting the claim limitation. Applicant urges Lopes fails to disclose airflow inline with the exterior surface of the needle. This argument is not deemed persuasive figure 2 shows, in phantom, the vacuum source connected to #41, which is not contacting the means for dispensing #37. Upon application of the vacuum source airflow would be generated along the lower portion/end of the needle, therefore meeting the claim limitation.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/974,022

Art Unit: 1744

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (703) 305-0554.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday-Friday (6:30AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 879-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Theresa T. Snider Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744 Page 6

rsf.5.7.
TTS

THERESAT. SNIDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER